

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,482	08/01/2003	Loc X. Phan	018563-001130US	3006	
46718	7590 09/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	AND TOWNSEND	O'CONNOR, CARY E			
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3732		

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		
1	•	,	4
ι	/	_	_

The state of the s	A 11 11 A1	A (! A/)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,482	PHAN ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cary E. O'Connor	3732
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address –
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
, ,	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	•	od.
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	cu.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	.,

10/632,482 Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

The indicated allowability of claims 1-4, 7-11 and 13 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Doyle (5,879,158). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the combined data" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the combined data" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects

Application/Control Number:

10/632,482 Art Unit: 3732

for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Doyle (5,879,158). Doyle discloses a method for producing a digital model of a patient's dentition having an attached attachment device (an attachment device can be considered an archwire, bracket, jig, etc.) comprising providing a digital model of a patient's dentition (step 14, column 5, lines 1+), providing a digital model of an attachment device (step 20), and combining the digital models to produce a digital model comprising the attachment device (step 65). In the case of claim 8, the attachment device is considered to be the archwire and the appliance is considered to be a bracket having a receptacle (archwire slot) to engage the attachment device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doyle (5,879,158) in view of Duret (4,742,464). Duret teaches a method of forming a dental prosthesis which includes the step of scanning the patient's teeth in the mouth to form a digital model of the teeth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the digital model of Doyle by

10/632,482

Art Unit: 3732

scanning the teeth, in situ, as taught by Duret, in order to eliminate an additional step of taking an impression of the teeth and making a hard model.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 6 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cary E. O'Connor whose telephone number is 571-272-4715. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/632,482 Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cary E. O'Connor Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3732

ceo